CONNECTING FUNCTIONAL EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY TO HIGHER EDUCATION ANDRAGOGY USING GENERATIVE ARIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Jace HARGIS
ORCID: 0002-9372-2533
Researcher, USA

Rick GESSNER
ORCID: 0002-0435-0361
University of California, San Diego USA

ABSTRACT

All ideas and writing in this paper are original. The Abstract was created by generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) ChatGPT in May 2024.

This paper addresses integrating meaningful educational technologies in curriculum design using generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) to enhance teaching and learning effectiveness. It emphasizes the importance of understanding various curricula and practical course design principles before integrating technology. The proposed approach focuses on foundational learning theories and effective pedagogical practices to create dynamic and engaging curricula. Furthermore, the paper explores how GenAI can support effective teaching practices by providing tools for assessing, measuring, and evaluating teaching effectiveness and aiding in creating teaching statements to articulate beliefs and strategies. Additionally, GenAI is highlighted as a facilitator of self-regulation, metacognition, and self-efficacy activities, fostering personalized learning paths, real-time feedback, goal setting, and adaptive resources. The integration of GenAI extends to various instructional elements such as mood/confusion buttons for immediate feedback, Instructables for active learning objects, student response systems for collaborative learning experiences, and curated learning materials for concise summaries. Moreover, GenAI facilitates effective communication between instructors and learners through actionable suggestions, feedback mechanisms, and support for research-based teaching methods. This paper proposes a comprehensive framework for integrating GenAI into teaching and learning processes, emphasizing the importance of aligning technology with pedagogical principles to enhance educational outcomes.

Keywords: Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI), Higher Education, Curriculum, Course Design, Synthesis

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, advancements in educational technology have transformed pedagogical approaches, offering a plethora of tools and platforms to enhance teaching and learning experiences. However, the fragmented implementation of these technologies has hindered their full potential, creating a disconnected landscape that impedes cohesive and effective utilization. To address this challenge, this study proposes an innovative approach: the integration of various educational technologies through the utilization of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI). The primary objective of this research is to establish a comprehensive framework for course design that seamlessly amalgamates the myriad functional educational technologies developed and employed in a compartmentalized manner over the past two decades. By leveraging the capabilities of GenAI, this study aims to create a unified, efficient, and transformative approach to curriculum development, ultimately fostering an engaging and personalized learning environment for students.

The significance of this endeavor lies in its potential to revolutionize the way educational institutions harness the collective power of diverse technological tools. By capitalizing on the affordances of prior educational technology through a centralized, GenAI-driven platform, this research envisions a paradigm shift that transcends the traditional boundaries of siloed implementation. The proposed integration of GenAI promises to streamline course design processes, enhance pedagogical practices, and empower educators to deliver tailored, dynamic learning experiences that cater to the unique needs of each student. This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge in the realm of educational technology by presenting a comprehensive framework that addresses the fragmentation challenges inherent in the current landscape. Through a multifaceted approach that encompasses curriculum design, instructional strategies, assessment practices, and technology integration, this research offers a holistic solution that has the potential to transform teaching and learning at all levels of education.

In education, technological advancements have revolutionized pedagogical practices, offering many tools and platforms to support teaching and learning (Carlson, 2024). However, the disparate nature of these technologies has led to fragmented implementation, hindering their full potential. This paper advocates for integrating various educational technologies through utilizing GenAI, aiming to create a cohesive and efficient approach to course design. This paper will propose integrating the vast amount of functional educational technology that has been developed and used in a compartmental way to support teaching and learning over the past two decades. We will begin by discussing the types of curriculum and walk through the many steps of course design using various tech tools to create an engaging environment for our learners. What is critical about this study is that we will propose how to capitalize on the affordances of prior educational technology in a one-stop-shop mode by using GenAI. The paper will be divided into sections describing how each ed tech functioned in isolation, with a proposal on how it could be updated through GenAI.

WHAT IS CURRICULUM

An education curriculum encompasses more than a mere series of courses; it encapsulates the knowledge, skills, and disposition outcomes students are expected to acquire as they progress through educational programs. An inclusive, equitable, and accessible curriculum consists of several components organized into three main sections:

- Program Planning: This involves meticulously reviewing and approving academic plans to ensure coherence and alignment with educational objectives.
- Context: Curriculum context encompasses various modalities such as in-person, online, and informal settings, study abroad programs, internships, and laboratory experiences.
- Expectations/Outcomes and Instructor Supports: The Curriculum design delineates what students are expected to achieve (outcomes) and provides instructors with well-designed instructional methods and materials to facilitate learning. A common approach to curriculum design is the backward design by Wiggins and McTighe (2005).

Types of Curriculum

Curriculum manifests in diverse forms, each serving a distinct purpose within the educational landscape:

- Overt Curriculum: Officially planned and documented, openly communicated, and includes subjects, lessons, standards, and assessments.
- Explicit Curriculum: Clearly stated and directly taught content, often conveyed through structured lessons and materials.
- Implicit Curriculum: Unintentional or unplanned learning experiences occur through the school environment, interactions, and cultural norms.
- Social Curriculum: Emphasizes social skills, values, and behaviors contributing to personal/social development.
- Hidden Curriculum: Unwritten, informal lessons and values conveyed through school culture, behaviors, and interactions.
- Null Curriculum: Topics and subjects intentionally or unintentionally excluded from the formal curriculum.

- Rhetorical Curriculum: Reflects societal values and expectations, often influenced by political, cultural, and economic forces.
- Concomitant Curriculum: Informal learning that occurs alongside the formal curriculum, often shaped by student interests and experiences.
- Received Curriculum: What students learn and can apply from the curriculum, influenced by individual experiences and interpretations.
- Electronic Curriculum: Learning experiences delivered through digital platforms and technologies.
- Internal Curriculum: Focuses on personal development, self-awareness, and individual curiosities that motivate learning.
- Co-Curriculum: Activities and experiences complement the formal curriculum, including clubs, sports, and community service.

AI can help develop prompts for multimedia presentations, research projects, and interactive activities that require students to require students to creatively apply their knowledge and provide practical, real-world applications of course material. Generative AI can produce draft versions of course content, including lecture notes, multimedia presentations, and even interactive learning modules. AI can assist in brainstorming and creating diverse learning activities and assessment tasks. For example, GenAI can generate quiz questions, develop case studies, and craft engaging lecture scripts.

COURSE CURRICULUM DESIGN

To ensure effectiveness, several key elements must be considered when designing a course curriculum (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005). GenAI, with its advanced capabilities, can significantly enhance the process. The following steps outline how to create a robust curriculum utilizing GenAI:

Writing Measurable, Active Learning Outcomes:

- Begin by crafting clear and measurable learning outcomes that reflect what students are expected to achieve.
- Utilize GenAI to assist in formulating specific and attainable outcomes.
- Ensure that learning outcomes are active, focusing on what students can demonstrate or apply upon course completion.

Creating Authentic Assessments with Analytical Rubrics:

- Design authentic assessments that align closely with the learning outcomes, allowing students to demonstrate their understanding and skills in real-world contexts.
- Leverage GenAI to develop analytical rubrics that provide clear criteria for assessment and facilitate consistent evaluation.
- Incorporate assessment methods, such as projects, presentations, and case studies, to gauge students' mastery of the content.

Designing and Aligning Curriculum and Instructional Material:

- Develop a comprehensive curriculum cohesively integrating instructional materials, activities, and assessments.
- Utilize GenAI to align curriculum components with learning outcomes and ensure coherence throughout the course.
- Update syllabi, slide decks, and other materials using GenAI-generated insights and recommendations to enhance relevance and effectiveness (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005; Hargis, 2024).

In course design, the emphasis lies on pedagogy and instructional strategies rather than on specific technological tools. The approach does not advocate for or prioritize any particular technology; instead, it underscores the importance of utilizing tools that improve learning outcomes. Furthermore, course design does not mandate the use of particular learning management systems (LMS); instead, it offers guidance on practical pedagogical approaches, allowing flexibility in selecting tools and systems that best align with instructional goals.

Common to all effective course design and teaching modalities are the following general practices for teaching online:

- Establishing a supportive learning environment involves developing a classroom culture that fosters community, enhancing engagement, providing clear guidelines on participation and assignments, promoting civil, active, and inclusive engagement, offering timely feedback, incorporating focused activities, and communicating effectively.
- Enhancing instructor presence requires utilizing multimedia resources, delivering weekly announcements, providing checklists, introducing low-stakes assignments, offering regular feedback, demonstrating care, encouraging collaboration, and showing flexibility.
- Lessons learned from online teaching emphasize prioritizing high-touch interactions, establishing a social presence, using technology intentionally, making expectations explicit, integrating fun elements, maintaining communication, and providing personal feedback (Major, 2015).

WAYS TO INTEGRATE GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INTO TEACHING AND LEARNING

EFFECTIVE TEACHING

GenAI can support faculty who would like to regularly Assess, Measure, and Evaluate their Effective Teaching to provide clear evidence and action items for their continuous improvement.

I. **Assessment** gathers information from multiple and diverse sources to understand learner knowledge, skills, and dispositions (Huba & Freed, 2000).
II. **Measurement** is an assignment of marks based on an explicit set of criteria (rubrics) (Sadler, 2005).
III. **Evaluation** is the process of making judgments on the level of performance - based on assessment and the set of criteria (Macquarie University Learning & Teaching Centre, 2010).

GenAI can support the typical pre-observation, observation, and post-observation assessment stages. Hargis (2017) created a protocol with a quantitative checklist, a qualitative field narrative, and a faculty flow diagram. In the measurement step, a detailed analytical rubric is provided to assist faculty in measuring their assessment data and enable a more accurate final evaluation step. Finally, common amongst research universities is the quest to study phenomena. For this proposal, we suggest using Boyer's (1990) model to explore the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning (SoTL). The ultimate goal is to allow faculty to gather broader representations of their teaching, enabling them to monitor, update, and continuously improve their personalized teaching philosophy (Hargis, 2014).

As Ken Bain summarized, practical teaching attributes include understanding how students learn, teaching as an intellectual endeavor, continuous self-assessment, creating environments for diverse learners, and integrating SoTL. Furthermore, research suggests active student engagement, inquiry-based activities, structured reflection, recognition of prior knowledge, dialogue, acknowledgment of diversity, clear expectations, student responsibility, technology integration, and meaningful feedback support effective learning.

Despite the importance of student perceptions, research questions their adequacy in measuring teaching effectiveness. No significant correlations exist between the student evaluation of teaching (SET) ratings and learning. These findings suggest that institutions focused on student learning may want to abandon SET ratings to measure faculty's teaching effectiveness (Uttl, White, & Gonzalez, 2016). Various studies highlight biases and inconsistencies in student evaluations of teaching. This proposal recommends collecting additional assessment data through pre-observation, observation, and post-observation debriefing to supplement student perception data. Measurement is facilitated using an analytical rubric, allowing faculty to evaluate their teaching effectively and continuously improve. This approach aims to provide a more holistic representation of teaching and learning effectiveness and empower faculty in their professional development.

TEACHING STATEMENTS

GenAI can help instructors create evidence of their effective teaching (self-reflection/evaluation, videos, SoTL, teaching portfolios, teaching philosophy, research agenda).

What is a Teaching Statement?

- Self-reflective statement of your beliefs about teaching and learning.
- 2-3 page narrative that conveys your core ideas about being an effective teacher.
- Develop ideas with examples of what you and learners will do to achieve outcomes.
- Explain WHY you choose these options.

The Teaching Statement can include

- Ways to create accessible, transparent, inclusive learning environments
- Goals for you and your students
- Your conception of how learning occurs
- How your teaching facilitates learning
- Reflection on why you teach the way you do
- What constitutes evidence of learning
- Interest in new strategies

General Guidelines

- Discipline Specific, be brief
- Narrative, first-person
- Sincere, unique. Avoid clichés, jargon
- Specific rather than abstract.
- Ground your ideas in 1-2 examples
- Complement other materials

Prompts to guide teaching philosophy statement

- Specifically, why do you teach?
- How do you create accessible, inclusive environments?
- How does your teaching facilitate learning?
- Why do you teach the way you do?
- What are your goals for yourself and your students?
- How does your teaching enact beliefs and skills?
- What constitutes evidence of learning?
- How does learning occur? (educational theory)
- What do you value in teaching?

Therefore Teaching Statements are a foundational aspect for instructors to create prior to designing courses as they will provide on-going guidance throughout the design process. Ways to address many of the Teaching Statement attributes can include theoretical concepts on how we process information, such as self-regulation, metacognition and self-efficacy.

SELF-REGULATION, METACOGNITION, SELF-EFFICACY

GenAI builds Self-Regulation [Heutagogy, self-determined learning, a student-centered instructional strategy that emphasizes the development of autonomy, capacity, and capability.], Metacognition and Self-Efficacy Activities. The following are operational definitions of each term and potential benefits to effective curriculum design:

Self-regulation refers to the ability of individuals to manage their thoughts, emotions, and behaviors to achieve long-term goals. It involves setting goals, monitoring progress, adjusting strategies, and maintaining motivation. Self-regulation encompasses various skills such as time management, stress management, and self-control. Self-regulatory learners 1) tend to learn better under learner control; 2) are able to monitor, evaluate, or manage their learning effectively; 3) reduce instructional time required to complete the lesson; and 4) manage their learning and time efficiently" (Yang, 1993).

Metacognition is the awareness and understanding of one's own thought processes (Ottenhoff, 2011). It involves higher-order thinking skills that enable individuals to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning and problem-solving strategies. Metacognition includes two main components: knowledge about cognition (awareness of one's cognitive processes) and regulation of cognition (managing how one approaches learning tasks).

Self-efficacy is the belief in one's ability to succeed in specific situations or accomplish a task. This concept, introduced by psychologist Albert Bandura, emphasizes the role of confidence in one's ability to exert control over one's own motivation, behavior, and social environment. High self-efficacy can lead to greater effort and persistence, while low self-efficacy can result in avoidance and lower performance. Students with high self-efficacy, work harder, persist longer when difficulties are present and achieve at higher levels. Successes raise and failures lower self-efficacy. Although low self-efficacy is detrimental, effective self-regulation does not require that it be exceptionally high. (Zimmerman, et al., 1992).

GenAI can play a pivotal role in fostering self-regulation, metacognition, and self-efficacy activities and diagnostics within the framework of heutagogy (self-determined learning), which emphasizes student-centered learning and the development of autonomy, capacity, and capability.

- Personalized Learning Paths: GenAI can analyze student data and preferences to tailor learning paths that suit their unique needs and learning. By providing personalized recommendations for resources, activities, and assessments, GenAI empowers students to take ownership of their learning journey, promoting autonomy and self-directed learning.
- Real-Time Feedback and Reflection: Through continuous interaction with students, GenAI can offer real-time feedback on their progress, highlighting areas of strength and areas needing improvement. This feedback encourages metacognition as students reflect on their learning process, identify the best strategies, and adjust their approach to enhance learning outcomes.
- Goal Setting and Monitoring: GenAI can assist students in setting specific, achievable goals and tracking their progress towards these goals over time. By breaking down larger learning objectives into manageable tasks and milestones, students develop a sense of self-efficacy as they experience success in reaching their targets through their efforts.
- Diagnostic Assessments: GenAI can administer diagnostic assessments to identify students' current knowledge, skills, and misconceptions. By pinpointing areas of weakness, students gain insight into their learning gaps, enabling them to focus on areas that require further attention, thereby enhancing their self-regulation and metacognitive skills.
- Adaptive Learning Resources: GenAI can curate adaptive learning resources that dynamically adjust to students' proficiency levels and learning progress. By presenting content at an appropriate challenge level, GenAI fosters a supportive learning environment where students can build confidence in their abilities and develop a growth mindset.
- Peer Collaboration and Support: GenAI can facilitate peer collaboration and support networks, allowing students to engage in collaborative learning activities, peer review processes, and knowledge-sharing initiatives. By participating in peer interactions, students develop communication skills, critical thinking abilities, and a sense of belonging within a learning community, further enhancing their self-regulation and self-efficacy.

INSTRUCTABLES

Electronic learning objects (ELOs) are designed to enhance the learning experience through digital means. They encompass a wide range of multimedia materials, including text, images, audio, video, animations, and interactive simulations. These objects are modular, enabling educators to assemble them into comprehensive educational experiences tailored to various learning contexts and objectives. The concept is rooted in the broader framework of object-oriented learning, which emphasizes the reuse and recontextualization of educational content. To maximize their educational impact, ELOs should be developed based on sound pedagogical principles, ensuring they are aligned with learning outcomes and capable of addressing diverse learner needs (McGreal, 2004).

GenAI can integrate "Instructables," which are active electronic learning objects (ELOs) that can be used by anyone (teacher and student) at any time of the learning process. They can be used to:

- Initiate and extend the initial attention step of the information processing model to promote deeper and frequent short to long-term conceptual memory;
- Engage and empower learners to support connecting conceptual frameworks to applied mental models and
- Provide an ongoing, sustainable method for interacting with concepts before/during/after formal class sessions.

Instructables will attend to the foundational aspects of learning theory (transparent to the user) and the research on the user interface while combining the domains of higher education and technology. They will consistently address the following key parameters:

1. **Cognitive Spaces,** meaning they will be aware of and minimize cognitive overload but simultaneously create productive cognitive dissonance, where the learner may become confused and frustrated, resulting in their productive struggle to accommodate or assimilate ideas. This process is a natural and necessary part of authentic, meaningful learning. A template will guide a user in creating an instructional tool to incorporate contemporary research on learning, align with the LOs and assessment, and provide valuable data and recommendations on using the data for subsequent instruction.
2. **Adaptive User Experience (UX)/User Interface** will be built to enable a clear and sensible flow for the learner. It will address their dispositions and help them promptly access the necessary information.
3. **Information architecture will create a transparent environment for** how concepts are related and mapped.
4. **Automated Curation:** Once a concept has been mapped to a space, automated tools periodically scan for updated or related materials and add them as links

The user will create an Instructable (reusable) to efficiently integrate the eLO before, during, and after formal instruction. It will be modular and able to drop in a presentation; it will have a definition, structure, process, and steps in what each stakeholder (learner, instructor, community, company, administration) is to do for its success. Each instructional feature will engage the learner in doing something, which will create data for the instructor (as well as the students). The purpose of the data output is more than to determine if an individual student or group of students (in aggregate) could answer the question correctly. However, in addition to - and perhaps more powerful - the output will be incorporated into an internal GenAI, which will constantly compile all data input into the system. GenAI will analyze the data and provide subsequent prompts for the learner and instructor to act on the data. These actions could include additional resources (books, articles, websites, blogs/podcasts, etc.) for the learner if their responses were incorrect. Alternatively, the prompts could be targeted as active learning strategies for the instructor, which will address potential misconceptions formed by the learner and other issues as to why a significant portion of the students may have provided incorrect responses.

An Instructable can be taken from a list of 24 Active Strategies, which include Picture Prompts, Think Break, Cliffhanger, Empty Outlines, One Minute Paper, Muddiest Point, Drawing for Understanding, Snowballs, Gallery Walk, Haiku, Infographic, Concept Mapping, Advice Letter, Bumper Sticker, Harvesting, Think-Pair-Share, Psycho-analysis, TV Commercial, Jeopardy, Annotated Portfolios, Role Playing and Press Conference. A specific example is a teaching method called Entrance/Exit tickets, which has been used in higher classes for many years. At the beginning and end of class, ask students multiple choice questions or summarize the prior session or readings that will be discussed that day in 3 to 5 sentences. There are many questions (Recall, Conceptual Understanding, Application, Confidence Level, Monitoring, and Demonstration/Experiment Questions). The instructor reviews and summarizes conceptual gaps and fundamental misconceptions to discuss in that day's and the following classes. Example prompts could include asking learners to share a ONE-sentence summary of this session (Knowledge), one idea that you might use (Skills), and one word that describes how you feel about the session or interface (Disposition). We have created an addendum to this activity using generative artificial intelligence (GenAI). The updated method asks students to submit their responses into a shared digital document. The instructor reviews the tickets, identifies gaps and fundamental misconceptions, and then analyzes them with GenAI. Using GenAI, students can receive instant feedback and engage in discussions to gain a deeper understanding.

MOOD/CONFUSION BUTTON

GenAI can offer a solution for teachers to promptly detect student confusion during lectures by enabling students to indicate their understanding levels in real-time through their mobile devices. The collected data can be projected, and the instructor receives a notification if a confusion threshold is reached. GenAI can further analyze the specific types of confusion experienced by students. Based on this analysis, targeted teaching methods can be suggested to the instructor for immediate implementation.

These teaching methods often fall under the Inductive (Learner-centered) approaches, where learners are encouraged to detect patterns and derive rules independently. This approach aligns with discovery and inquiry-based teaching, which is known for its effectiveness in promoting learning. Key elements of these High Impact Instructional Practices (HIIPs) include setting high-performance expectations, investing significant effort over time, facilitating substantive discussions with faculty and peers, providing timely feedback, offering real-world applications of learning, encouraging public demonstrations of competence, and providing structured opportunities for reflection and integration of learning.

STUDENT RESPONSE SYSTEMS

Student response systems (SRS) have been a staple in college classrooms for engaging students and assessing their understanding of course material in real time. Examples include Poll Everywhere, Mentimeter, Answer Garden, Tricider, Kahoot, Padlet, GoFormative, and Plickers. Through an evolution of improvement, most of the SRS are now easy to use and require very little expertise in technology. However, even instructors with the best intentions and well-designed courses will need to dedicate time to identify appropriate SRS tools and then be efficient in using these in a practical, timely manner while in a class session. GenAI systems can package all of the benefits of traditional SRS and create a more "one-button" approach, making it easier for both the instructor and students. Lowering the use threshold will undoubtedly create a system and philosophy of offering SRS more frequently, providing more formative assessment data for instructors to monitor and redirect instruction when needed.

By analyzing students' responses collectively, GenAI can identify common misconceptions or areas of uncertainty shared among students. Instructors can then use this information to initiate group discussions or peer-to-peer teaching activities to clarify misunderstandings and foster deeper conceptual understanding. Additionally, GenAI can generate prompts for collaborative problem-solving activities, encouraging students to work together to apply their knowledge in solving complex problems or scenarios.

Furthermore, GenAI can enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of formative assessment practices in college classrooms. Instead of relying solely on multiple-choice questions or short-answer responses, GenAI can generate diverse assessment tasks, including interactive simulations, scenario-based questions, or multimedia presentations. These varied assessment formats engage students more actively and provide richer data for instructors to evaluate students' comprehension and critical thinking skills.

Ideally, each SRS question will be aligned with course learning outcomes (CLO) and subsequent summative assessments. To accomplish this using GenAI, the instructor could use sequenced screens to build SRS items. GenAI could offer a step-by-step prompt structure that the instructor completes so GenAI can build well-connected questions. For example, an instructor wishes to offer an open-ended question (similar to Answer Garden) and ask students to provide open-ended responses. The instructor would select a CLO or daily/weekly sub-LO and ask GenAI to create an open-ended question. Another slide would appear asking which Bloom Level (Application, Analysis, Synthesis) the question should attend to. GenAI

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Integrating meaningful educational technologies can potentially transform teaching and learning practices significantly, yet the disparate nature of these tools often leads to fragmented implementation. This paper advocates for the cohesive integration of various educational technologies through utilizing GenAI, aiming to streamline course design processes and enhance learning outcomes. By examining different types of curricula and the fundamental principles of course design, this paper proposes a holistic approach that leverages GenAI to capitalize on the affordances of prior educational technology in a one-stop-shop mode.

The discussion surrounding curriculum underscores the multifaceted nature of educational programs, emphasizing the importance of inclusive, equitable, and accessible design. Understanding the various types of curriculum is crucial for educators pursuing continuous improvement, as it enables them to align their teaching practices with overarching educational objectives. Effective course curriculum design involves meticulous planning, clear learning outcomes, authentic assessments, and cohesive alignment of instructional materials a process that can be significantly enhanced by integrating GenAI.

Foundational learning theories serve as guiding principles in course design, emphasizing the importance of pedagogy and instructional strategies in fostering meaningful learning experiences. With GenAI, educators can capitalize on personalized learning paths, real-time feedback mechanisms, and adaptive learning resources to promote student self-regulation, metacognition, and self-efficacy. Additionally, incorporating Instructables, Mood/Confusion Buttons, and Student Response Systems facilitated by GenAI offers innovative ways to effectively engage students and address their learning needs.

Moreover, GenAI plays a pivotal role in curating learning materials, summarizing content, and facilitating communication between instructors and learners. By harnessing advanced natural language processing and machine learning algorithms, GenAI streamlines the process of content organization, providing personalized study materials and actionable suggestions for continuous improvement. Through intelligent communication features, GenAI offers valuable insights, facilitates reflective practices, and supports research-based teaching methods, ultimately enhancing the overall teaching and learning experience.
In conclusion, integrating GenAI into teaching and learning practices holds immense potential to revolutionize education by fostering collaboration, promoting personalized learning experiences, and empowering educators in their quest for continuous improvement. By leveraging the capabilities of GenAI, educators can create dynamic and engaging curricula, foster meaningful interactions, and ultimately enhance learning outcomes for all students.

Specifically, GenAI was shown to facilitate the development of robust curricula with measurable learning outcomes and authentic assessments. Its analytical capabilities help align course components and instructional materials to optimize coherence and relevance. GenAI can also provide personalized support to instructors and students, fostering self-regulation, metacognition, and self-efficacy. Features like real-time feedback, goal setting, adaptive resources, and peer collaboration empower learners to take control of their educational journey.

Additionally, GenAI enables the seamless integration of diverse technologies like student response systems, Instructables, mood buttons, and learning material curation. Automated summarization, actionable suggestions, and enhanced communication are just ways GenAI can enrich interactions, simplify workflows, identify patterns, and extract insights to improve teaching and learning continuously.

In conclusion, by harnessing the power of GenAI, universities can transform the fragmented adoption of educational technologies into an integrated, efficient system. This allows for a holistic approach to course design, leveraging the full potential of technology to create accessible, practical learning experiences. GenAI solutions mitigate challenges like information overload, individual differences, and lack of personalization. As AI capabilities continue advancing, higher education can evolve to offer inclusive, adaptive learning built on a foundation of data-driven, evidence-based instructional practices.

LIMITATIONS

While integrating GenAI into teaching and learning practices holds promising potential, it is essential to acknowledge and address several limitations and considerations. GenAI models are trained on vast datasets, which may inadvertently encode societal biases, stereotypes, or inaccuracies in the training data. If not carefully monitored and mitigated, these biases could propagate through the system, leading to biased content generation, recommendations, or assessments. Rigorous testing, auditing, and debiasing techniques must be employed to ensure the outputs of GenAI systems are fair, inclusive, and representative of diverse perspectives.

While GenAI can augment and streamline various aspects of teaching and learning, it should not be viewed as a replacement for human educators. The role of educators remains paramount in providing guidance, fostering critical thinking, nurturing creativity, and addressing the complex socio-emotional needs of learners. GenAI should be positioned as a powerful tool to support and enhance the work of educators, not as a substitute for their expertise and human connections. Continuous monitoring and updating of GenAI models with diverse and representative data sources is crucial to address potential biases. Collaborations between educators, subject matter experts, and AI researchers can help identify and mitigate biases, ensuring the outputs align with educational objectives and societal values. Furthermore, educator training programs should be developed to equip teachers with the necessary skills to integrate and leverage GenAI in their classrooms effectively. This includes understanding the strengths and limitations of AI systems, interpreting outputs critically, and maintaining a human-centric approach to teaching and learning.

The integration of Generative AI (GenAI) in education presents both opportunities and challenges that must be carefully managed to ensure ethical and equitable outcomes. For instance, in generating personalized learning materials, GenAI models may inadvertently reinforce gender stereotypes or cultural biases in the training data. Careful curation and vetting of the generated content by educators is essential to ensure inclusivity and accuracy. It is also important to consider the potential impacts of GenAI on student privacy, data security, and intellectual property rights. Clear guidelines and policies must be established to protect sensitive information and ensure the responsible use of AI in educational settings. Additionally, the integration of GenAI may exacerbate existing digital divides, as access to cutting-edge technologies and reliable internet connectivity remains challenging in specific regions or socioeconomic contexts. Efforts must be made to ensure equitable access and support for all learners, regardless of their backgrounds or resources.

REFERENCES

Al-Shawwa, R., Hargis, J., Grewell, C., & Qi, H. (accepted 2024). AI for higher education: Supporting faculty in creating an inclusive, accessible learning environment, *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications, 14*(2).

Beatty, B. J. (2019). Teaching a Hybrid-Flexible Course: The Faculty Experience in HyFlex. In B. J. Beatty, Hybrid-Flexible Course Design: Implementing student-directed hybrid classes. EdTech Books. Retrieved from https://edtechbooks.org/hyflex/teaching_hyflex

Biber, D., & Gray, B. (2016). Grammar in academic writing. In The Handbook of English for Specific Purposes (pp. 185-199).

Bower, M., Kennedy, G. E., Dalgarno, B., Lee, M. J. W., and Kenney, J. (2014). Blended synchronous learning: A handbook for educators. Retrieved from http://blendsync.org/handbook Conrad, D. & Openo, J. (2018). Assessment Strategies for Online Learning: Engagement and Authenticity. Edmonton, AB: Athabasca University Press.

Boyle, T. (2005). A dynamic, systematic method for developing blended learning. *Education, Communication & Information, 5*(3), 221–232.

Carlson, B. (2024). Specific examples on how to integrate functional generative technology into higher ed teaching and learning, *Glokalde SoTL Journal, 10*(1), Article 2.

Dabbagh N., Marra N., & Howland, J.L. (2018). Meaningful Online Learning: Integrating Strategies, Activities, and Learning Technologies for Effective Designs. London: Routledge.

D'Mello, S., & Craig, S. D. (2016). A survey of affective computing for learning and cognitive tutors. IEEE *Transactions on Learning Technologies, 8*(4), 345–368.

Educause (2010). The seven things you should know about the Hyflex course model. Educause. Retrieved from https://library.educause.edu/-/media/files/library/2010/11/eli7066-pdf.pdf
Gass, S. M. (2015). Second language acquisition: An introductory course. Routledge.
Greene, J. (2020). Keep calm and keep teaching. Inside Higher Ed. Retrieved from www.insidehighered.com/advice/2020/03/17/shifting-unexpectedly-remote-instruction-requires-many-human-solutions-tech.
Hargis, J. (2014). A ten-year study of faculty classroom observations. *Transformative Dialogues: Teaching and Learning Journal, 7*(2), 1–21.
Hargis, J. (2024). Using Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) to design a college Environmental Science course, *Glokalde SoTL Journal, 10*(1), Article 1.
Hill, C., & Hargis, J. (accepted for 2024). If ChatGPT is writing the courses and the assignments, then why do we need faculty or students? An ethics lesson on academic integrity and Generative AI in Higher Education. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning.*
Hill, C., Little, J., Bharadwaj, S., & Hargis, J. (2023). Methods to integrate AI Chat, GPT into university teaching and learning, *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications, 13*(4), 459–475.
Hofmann, J., & Miner, N. (2008). Real blended learning stands up. *T+D, 62*(9), 28-31.
Knowles, M. (1984). The adult learner: A neglected species. Houston, TX: Gulf Publishing.
Maloney, E. & Kim, J. (2020). 15 Fall scenarios. Inside Higher Ed. Retrieved from https://insidehighered.com/digital-learning/blogs/learning-innovation/15-fall-scenarios.
McGreal, R. (2004). Learning objects: A practical definition. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 1*(9), 21-32.
Ottenhoff, J. (2011). Learning how to learn: Metacognition in liberal education. *Liberal Education, 97*(34), 28–33.
TPHE (2020). The elephant in the Zoom room. Inside Higher Ed. Retrieved from https://insidehighered.com/advice/2020/05/06/pandemic-brings-home-need-focus-humane-and-meaningful-student-learning-experiences
Uttl, B., White, C. A. & Gonzalez, D. W. (2016). Meta-analysis of faculty's teaching effectiveness: Student evaluation of teaching ratings and student learning are unrelated. *Studies in Educational Evaluation.* doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2016.08.007
Yang, Y. C. (1993). The effects of self-regulatory skills and type of instructional control on learning from computer-based instruction. International Journal of Instructional Media, 20(3), 225-241.
Wade, J., Hargis, J., & Gessner, R. (2024). SlideSpace: Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) environment for individually optimized learning. American Society of Engineering Education (ASEE) conference proceedings, Portland, Oregon, June 23-26, 2024.
Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). Understanding by Design (Expanded 2nd Edition). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
Zimmerman, B. J., Bandura, A., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1992). Self-motivation for academic attainment: The role of self-efficacy beliefs and personal goal setting. American Educational Research Journal, 29, 663-676.

BIODATA and CONTACT ADDRESSES of the AUTHORS

Dr. Jace Hargis is currently researching generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) in higher education andragogy. He has worked as a Professor and various leadership roles in Qatar, China, UAE and the US (California, Florida and Hawaii). Previously, he worked as a Vice President of Curriculum and Faculty Development at Kean University; Assistant Vice Chancellor and Professor at Wenzhou-Kean University; Professor and Director of the Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL) at New York University Shanghai; a CTL Director at the University of California San Diego; a Professor and Associate Provost in Hawaii; a College Director in Abu Dhabi, UAE; an Associate Professor and Assistant Provost in northern California; and an Assistant Professor and Director of Faculty Development in Florida. He has authored a textbook, an anthology and published over 160 academic articles as well as offered hundreds of academic presentations. He has earned a BS in Oceanography from Florida Institute of Technology; an MS in Environmental Engineering Sciences and a PhD in Science Education from the University of Florida. Dr. Hargis' research focuses on how people learn while integrating appropriate, relevant and meaningful instructional technologies.

Jace Hargis, PhD (Researcher)
USA
Email: jace.hargis@gmail.com
Website link: https://jacehargis.wixsite.com/jace

Rick Geesner is currently Lecturer Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of California, San Diego. He teaches courses in Software, Internet of Things, Product Development, Entrepreneurialism and Startups. He has created seven start up companies and has 14 patents filed. He is the founder of Goju labs, which creates boutique software products and hardware engineering. He has earned a Masters Business Administration in Technology Management at the University of Phoenix and a Bachelors of Science, Technology Management, CIS, Arizona State University.

Rick Gessner (Lecturer)
San Diego, CA USA
Email: rickghome@gmail.com
Website link: https://profiles.ucsd.edu/richard.gessner